

## A remark about dihedral group actions on spheres

Ian Hambleton

### ABSTRACT

We show that a finite dihedral group does not act pseudofreely and locally linearly on an even-dimensional sphere  $S^{2k}$ , with  $k > 1$ . This answers a question of Kulkarni from 1982.

### 1. Introduction

In this note, we let  $D_p = \langle a, b \mid a^p = b^2 = 1, bab = a^{-1} \rangle$  denote the finite dihedral group of order  $2p$ , for  $p$  an odd prime. A famous theorem of Milnor [8] states that a finite dihedral group cannot act freely on a topological  $n$ -manifold with the mod 2 homology of  $S^n$ . More generally, a *pseudofree* action is one which is free outside of a discrete set of points. In [6, Theorem 7.4], Kulkarni studied orientation-preserving, pseudofree actions of finite groups  $G$  on manifolds which are  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -homology  $n$ -spheres, and found that, for  $n = 2k$ , the group  $G$  must be (i) a periodic group which acts freely on  $S^{2k-1}$ , (ii) dihedral, or (iii) tetrahedral, octahedral, or icosahedral (when  $k = 1$ ). The first case occurs as the suspension of any free action of a periodic group on  $S^{2k-1}$ , and the other cases already appear for orthogonal actions on  $S^2$ . Kulkarni asked whether the second case could actually occur on  $S^{2k}$  if  $k > 1$ . This turns out to be impossible.

**THEOREM A.** *The dihedral group  $G = D_p$ , with  $p$  an odd prime, cannot act pseudofreely and locally linearly on  $S^{2k}$ , preserving the orientation, for  $k > 1$ .*

For  $k$  even, we show that there does not even exist a finite pseudofree  $G$ -CW complex  $X \simeq S^{2k}$ , with  $X^G = \emptyset$ . For all odd integers  $k \geq 1$ , such complexes do exist, for example, by taking the join of  $S^2$  with the action given by  $G \subset \mathrm{SO}(3)$  and a finite Swan complex for  $G$  (see [3, 9]).

**REMARK 1.1.** My interest in this question was prompted by the recent paper of Edmonds [2], where he proves this result for  $k$  even. Our methods seem rather different. The discussion by Edmonds in [2, 4.1] combined with Theorem A shows that there are no effective pseudofree dihedral actions on  $S^n$ , for  $n > 2$ , even if some elements of  $G$  are allowed to reverse orientation.

### 2. The chain complex

In this section, we let  $G = D_p$  and suppose that  $X$  is a finite  $G$ -CW complex such that  $X \simeq S^{2k}$ , with  $k > 0$ , and  $X^G = \emptyset$ . We further assume that the  $G$ -action is pseudofree and induces the identity on homology. It follows from [6, Proposition 7.3] that every non-identity element of  $G$  fixes exactly two points. We assume that  $X^G = \emptyset$  since this is a necessary condition for a locally

linear, pseudo-free action on a sphere (by Milnor's theorem). Let  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(X^?)$  denote the chain complex of  $X$  over the orbit category  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}\text{Or}_{\mathcal{F}}G$  with respect to the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of all proper subgroups of  $G$  (see [1] or [7] for this theory). The notation means that  $\mathbf{C}_i(G/U) = C_i(X^U)$ , for  $U \leq G$ , and the action of  $N_G(U)/U$  on  $\mathbf{C}_i(G/U)$  induced by the  $G$ -action on  $X$  is expressed algebraically through the functorial properties of  $\mathbf{C}$ .

Our pseudofree assumption on the  $G$ -CW complex  $X$  implies that  $\mathbf{C}_i(G/U) = 0$ , if  $U \neq 1$  is a non-trivial subgroup of  $G$ , and  $i > 0$ . Therefore,

$$H_i(\mathbf{C})(G/U) = 0 \quad \text{if } i > 0, \text{ for all } U \neq 1. \quad (1)$$

From the homology of  $S^{2k}$  we have

$$H_0(\mathbf{C})(G/1) = \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad H_i(\mathbf{C})(G/1) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq 0, 2k. \quad (2)$$

In addition, since we assumed that  $G$  acts trivially on the homology of  $S^{2k}$ , we have

$$H_{2k}(\mathbf{C})(G/1) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{with trivial } G\text{-action.} \quad (3)$$

Let  $H = \langle a \rangle$  and  $K = \langle b \rangle$  denote particular subgroups of  $G$ , of order  $p$  and 2, respectively. The orbit types give the chain group

$$\mathbf{C}_0 = \mathbb{Z}[G/H^?] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/K^?] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[G/K^?],$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}[G/V^?]$  denotes the free right module over the orbit category with values

$$\mathbb{Z}[G/V^?](G/U) = \mathbb{Z}\text{Map}_G(G/U, G/V),$$

for all proper subgroups  $U \leq G$ . In particular, the homology of the fixed sets is given by

$$H_0(\mathbf{C})(G/H) = \mathbb{Z}[G/H^?](G/H) = \mathbb{Z}[N_G(H)/H] = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2] \quad (4)$$

and

$$H_0(\mathbf{C})(G/K) = (\mathbb{Z}[G/K^?](G/K))^2 = (\mathbb{Z}[N_G(K)/K])^2 = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5)$$

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A finite  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain complex  $\mathbf{C}$  of finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -modules, which satisfies the algebraic conditions (1)–(5), is called a *pseudofree  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain complex with the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homology of  $S^{2k}$* .

One example of such a complex arises from the standard orthogonal action  $Y = S(V)$  of the dihedral group on  $S^2$  (for  $G$  as a subgroup of  $\text{SO}(3)$ ). The  $\text{SO}(3)$ -representation  $V = W \oplus \mathbb{R}_-$  is the sum of the standard 2-dimensional real representation  $W$  (given by the action on a regular  $2p$ -gon in the plane) and the non-trivial 1-dimensional real representation  $\mathbb{R}_-$ . The chain complex  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{C}(Y^?)$  over the orbit category has the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathbb{Z}[G/1^?])^2 & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}[G/1^?])^3 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}[G/H^?] \oplus (\mathbb{Z}[G/K^?])^2 \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathbf{D}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_0, \end{array}$$

where  $H_2(\mathbf{D}) = \mathbb{Z}_0$  is the  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module with value  $\mathbb{Z}_0(G/1) = \mathbb{Z}$ , and zero otherwise. The module  $H_0 := H_0(\mathbf{D})$  has value  $H_0(G/1) = \mathbb{Z}$ , and values at  $G/H$  and  $G/K$  as listed above. In general, for any pseudofree  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain complex  $\mathbf{C}$  with the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homology of  $S^{2k}$ , we have  $H_{2k}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbb{Z}_0$  and  $H_0(\mathbf{C}) = H_0(\mathbf{D})$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Suppose that  $\mathbf{C}$  is a pseudofree  $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -chain complex with the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -homology of  $S^{2k}$ . Then the complex  $\mathbf{C}$  is chain homotopy equivalent to a finite free  $2k$ -dimensional chain*

complex  $\mathbf{C}'$ , with  $\mathbf{C}'_i = \mathbf{C}_i$  for  $i \geq 4$ , whose initial part  $\mathbf{C}'_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}'_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}'_0$  is chain isomorphic to  $\mathbf{D}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $H_0(\mathbf{C}) = H_0(\mathbf{D})$ , this follows from the version of Schanuel’s lemma over the orbit category given in the proof of [4, Lemma 8.12].  $\square$

An immediate consequence is the statement of Theorem A for  $k$  even.

**COROLLARY 2.3** (Edmonds [2]). *Let  $G = D_p$ . If  $k$  is even, there is no effective pseudofree  $G$ -action on a finite  $G$ -CW complex  $X \simeq S^{2k}$ , inducing the identity on homology.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(X^?)$  denote the chain complex over the orbit category of such an action. From the chain equivalent complex  $\mathbf{C}' \simeq \mathbf{C}$ , we can extract a periodic resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{2k} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_{2k-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_4 \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}_3'' \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

since  $H_2(\mathbf{D}) = H_{2k}(\mathbf{C}) = \mathbb{Z}_0$ , where  $\mathbf{C}_3''$  is a direct sum of copies of  $\mathbb{Z}[G/1^?]$ . By evaluating at  $G/1$ , we obtain a periodic projective resolution from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}G$  of length  $(2k - 2)$ . Since  $G = D_p$  has periodic cohomology of period 4 (and not 2), we conclude that  $k$  is odd.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem A ( $k$  odd).* Suppose, if possible, that we have a locally linear and orientation-preserving pseudofree topological action of  $G$  on  $S^{2k}$ , for some odd integer  $k \geq 3$ . Then there exists a finite  $G$ -CW complex  $X \simeq S^{2k}$ , and a chain homotopy equivalence  $\mathbf{C}(X^?) \simeq \mathbf{C}'$  provided by Lemma 2.2. We may identify the singular set  $\text{Sing}(X)$  of  $X$  with the singular set of the given action on  $S^{2k}$ . Let  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2\} \subset \text{Sing}(X)$  denote representatives of the distinct  $G$ -orbits of singular points (with  $G_{x_0} = H$ , and  $G_{x_i} = K$  for  $i = 1, 2$ ). Around each singular point  $x_i$ , with  $0 \leq i \leq 2$ , we can choose a linearly embedded 2-disk slice  $G \times_{G_{x_i}} D^2 \subset S^{2k}$ , since the action  $(S^{2k}, G)$  is locally linear. This gives a  $G$ -equivariant embedding

$$f_0 : \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq 2} (G \times_{G_{x_i}} D^2) \subset S^{2k}.$$

Since the pseudofree orbit structure of the standard  $G$ -action on  $S^2 = S(V)$  is the same for any locally linear action on  $S^{2k}$ , we can consider  $f_0$  to be a  $G$ -equivariant embedding of a tubular neighborhood of the singular set of  $S(V)$  into  $S^{2k}$ . By obstruction theory, and since  $k \geq 3$ , we can extend this embedding  $f_0$  to a  $G$ -equivariant embedding  $f : S(V) \subset S^{2k}$ . Non-equivariantly such an embedding of  $S^2 \subset S^{2k}$  is isotopic to a standard embedding. We have thus obtained a dihedral action on  $S^{2k}$  of the type considered in my earlier joint work with Pedersen [5], namely, one conjugate to ‘a topological action on a sphere which is free off a standard proper subsphere, and given by a  $S(V)$  on the subsphere’. However, we proved in [5, Theorem 7.11] that such an action exists if and only if the representation  $V$  on the subsphere contains two  $\mathbb{R}_-$  factors. Since this is not the case for the standard  $\text{SO}(3)$ -representation  $V$  of  $G$ , we conclude that a pseudofree  $G$ -action on  $S^{2k}$  does not exist for  $k > 1$ .  $\square$

*Acknowledgement.* The author would like to thank the Max Planck Institut für Mathematik in Bonn for its hospitality and support while this work was done.

### References

1. T. TON DIECK, ‘Transformation groups’, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 8 (1987) x+312.
2. A. L. EDMONDS, ‘Pseudofree group actions on spheres’, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 138 (2010) 2203–2208.

3. S. GALOVICH, I. REINER and S. ULLOM, 'Class groups for integral representations of metacyclic groups', *Mathematika* 19 (1972) 105–111.
4. I. HAMBLETON, S. PAMUK and E. YALÇIN, 'Equivariant CW-complexes and the orbit category', *Comment. Math. Helv.*, arXiv:0807.3357v3 [math.AT], to appear.
5. I. HAMBLETON and E. K. PEDERSEN, 'Bounded surgery and dihedral group actions on spheres', *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 4 (1991) 105–126.
6. R. S. KULKARNI, 'Pseudofree actions and Hurwitz's  $84(g-1)$  theorem', *Math. Ann.* 261 (1982) 209–226.
7. W. LÜCK, *Transformation groups and algebraic K-theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1408 (Springer, Berlin, 1989).
8. J. MILNOR, 'Groups which act on  $S^n$  without fixed points', *Amer. J. Math.* 79 (1957) 623–630.
9. R. G. SWAN, 'Periodic resolutions for finite groups', *Ann. of Math. (2)* 72 (1960) 267–291.

*Ian Hambleton*  
*Department of Mathematics & Statistics*  
*McMaster University*  
*Hamilton, ON*  
*Canada L8S 4K1*  
[hambleton@mcmaster.ca](mailto:hambleton@mcmaster.ca)